

Clifford Johnson
P.O. Box 1009
Gualala, CA 95445-1009
Tel: (707) 884-4066

The Honorable William H. Alsup, Judge,
United States District Court,
450 Golden Gate Avenue,
San Francisco, CA 94102

June 23, 2012

RECEIVED

JUN 28 2012

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Re: Case No. C 11-06684 WHA
Clifford Johnson v. United States Department Of The Treasury, and Timothy Geithner, in his official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of the Treasury

Objection to Judgment entered June 14, 2012, insofar as it closes the trial court record

Your Honor,

This is to respectfully request that you add this letter to the otherwise closed trial court record, with or without a response. It objects to the Judgment's mandate that the record be closed, as best I can without in any way disrespecting that mandate.

I object that, without specified cause or authority, the closure deprives me of my First Amendment right to petition the court to the extent ordinarily provided for by the Federal Rules of Procedure.

I appreciate that the judgment is dispositive. However, because the complaint raises, apparently for the first time, the question as to whether factual misrepresentations intended to suppress viewpoints are within the compass of the government speech immunity doctrine, further trial court proceedings would not be futile, if only to present the court of appeal with an appropriately clarified record.

As the record stands, there is no indication in the defendant's papers, or in the court's decision, of any awareness that factual misrepresentations are at issue, let alone any indication why misrepresentations *intended to suppress my viewpoint* should qualify for the aforesaid immunity. On the contrary, it appears that the court construes the complaint as directly challenging the Treasury's policy of not issuing United States notes, which it meticulously avoids.

The only policy that the complaint challenges is a policy of deception *intended to suppress all debate re United States notes*, by misrepresenting that there are no functional differences between United States notes and Federal Reserve notes; and it attacks this policy of deception only as manifested by *particularly alleged misrepresentations of fact, authoritatively published as objective*.

Yours most respectfully,

Clifford Johnson



Cc by U.S. mail and e-mail:

Evan H. Perlman,
Assistant United States Attorney
U.S. Attorney's Office,
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055,
San Francisco, CA 94102-3495